

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,143	11/21/2001		Itaru Saida	P21725.dc1	9442
7055	7590 0	1/10/2005		EXAMINER	
	LUM & BERNS	PHILOGEN	PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3732	
				DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/989,143	SAIDA ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pedro Philogene	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>10-14,16-18 and 21</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 15 and 20.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-9</u> .						
	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other: PEDRO PHILOGENE						
PRIMARY EXAMINER						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: in the remark filed 11/23/04 applicannt stated that the motivation is not disclosed as a benefit of removing bristles from around an opening, but is instead the stated benefit of the entire system disclosed in the JP-154027 However, if the motivation is for the entire system, it is also good for the bristles around the opening since the bristles around the opening are an integral part of the system. Further, applicant stated that IKEMOTO did not disclose a brush base made of antistatic material, however, IKEMOTO teaches of a brush base partially made of antistatic material. Therefore, to make make the whole base of antistatic material would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Applicant's arguments that the modification is clearly the result of impermissible hindsight reasoning, the examiner is not at all convinced that that is so. The examiner is persuaded that the differences between the subject matter claimed and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art. see in re Sheckler, 168 USPQ 716 (CCPA 1971)..

PEDRO PHILOGENE